From: [redacted]
To: Ministerial Correspondence
Subject: Objection to the urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A
Date: 17 July 2026 18:34:07

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

Dear Secretary of State,

I am a local resident writing to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester.

On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025. Given these timelines, it is clearly unreasonable and frankly incorrect for this to now be considered “urgent”. The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation.

I write this as a female who has major concerns about this proposal, and would not feel safe walking alone in my community if 1250 men were allowed to roam freely. These are not men arriving with families - taking their children to play or walking with their wives for enjoyment - but these are single men who have limited background checks and no knowledge of UK legislation or cultural norms, and I do not believe that any safeguarding considerations have been made for local people, particularly those of women and children.

Separately, as a [redacted] I would be seeking to relocate from the area. I did not move here to be overrun with migrants that have no involvement with, or commitment to, the local community. I moved to a peaceful village to be surrounded by likeminded people in a safe area. Housing prices will inevitably fall, and the Government will be directly responsible of stripping hard working people of money due to the loss of property value. How will we be compensated for this if the proposal goes ahead?

You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is incredibly controversial, and widely opposed locally. It is very important that local people and our local representatives in the councils, health board, police and other services are given sufficient information and time to make an intelligent educated response.

I therefore urge you to withdraw consent for this to be treated as an ‘urgent’ application given it is frankly not urgent based on it being 9 months in the works, and instead insist that the usual planning process is followed, so that local voices can be heard about a proposal that will affect us personally more than any Secretary of State in London.

Yours sincerely,

A concerned, hard working, high tax payer,

[redacted]